Analyzing the West's focus on social agenda versus economic growth in developing countries: A finance engineer's perspective

Professor Kelly Mua Kingsly
PhD/MBA, MPA, CFA, CFI, PMP, ISO
Finance engineer (Forensic finance and audits)
blog:www.kellykingsly.com
My Papers:SSRN
https://www.linkedin.com/in/kelly-kingsley-ph-d-2a5a1416/

Analysing the West's focus on social agenda versus economic growth in developing countries: A finance engineer's perspective

1. Introduction

Post-Brexit vote, the political landscape underwent a significant transformation. The rise of Donald Trump in the United States, along with the consequential elections in France and Germany, shed light on the contrasting priorities of the Western world. The focus shifted from purely economic growth towards social agenda, presenting a striking divergence from China's one-party system. This fundamental change led to a surge of interest in authoritarians who project the image of a 'strong leader,' aiming to fill the global leadership void and capitalising on the growing anger. The era of globalisation has undoubtedly brought substantial benefits, lifting millions of people out of poverty. Technological advancements became seemingly limitless, promising a brighter future. However, the Western industrial workers, once the backbone of the economy, were left behind. The material goods they depended on suddenly became fleeting, creating disillusionment and discontent among this crucial segment of society. Politicians encountered a daunting challenge as they faced addressing the grievances of industrial workers. Repairing or redistributing the lost material goods, or even replacing them entirely with services, became an immense burden with potential political repercussions. These politicians must achieve economic growth that would entice and appease voters, which has become a prominent concern. Nevertheless, their pursuit of economic growth came at the expense of abandoning the centuries-old principles of economic thought. The redirection of focus towards social engineering marked a remarkable departure from the traditional ideologies that had guided societies for generations. It represented a bold and unprecedented move, carrying both promises and risks. The Western world grapples with the clash between social concerns and economic progress in this complex and dynamic landscape. The delicate task of striking a balance between the two becomes ever

more critical as leaders navigate the intricate web of expectations and demands from their constituents. The post-Brexit, Trump-era echoes throughout Europe, establishing a defining historical moment that calls for thoughtful evaluation and bold decision-making.

From a finance engineer's perspective, a social agenda headline is the ceasing just-in-time financing of wear or curb projects, benignly called "soft" or growth infrastructure every peculiar number of years to maintain economic growth or increase all geopolitical powers' consolidated balance sheets and current account surpluses on a global scale. Whenever divisive bi- or multinational trade deals are not least subsidiary to the one-world economics discipline that has most benefited, it becomes imperative to enact comprehensive measures that ensure equitable distribution and inclusivity across all nations and regions. Fiscal disunity, ergo unlimited world-equating money-printing instead of pushing a one-world currency, has brought about political disunity in the most affluent countries. It is high time that we reconsider our strategies to foster a harmonious and collaborative global economy, elevating the interests of all citizens, regardless of their socioeconomic background or geographic location, thereby transcending partisan agenda absurdum for the betterment of our shared future. In light of these observations, finance engineers must recognise the significance of embracing a social agenda. The current financial landscape necessitates a departure from the traditional approach of providing timely funding for infrastructure projects to improve the overall state of wear and curb structures. These projects, often referred to as "soft" or growth infrastructure, have historically served as catalysts for economic growth and have played a crucial role in bolstering the consolidated balance sheets and current account surpluses of various geopolitical powers on a global scale. However, it is essential to acknowledge that when bi- or multinational trade agreements fail to prioritise the principles of one-world economics, which have proven to be most beneficial, it becomes imperative to implement comprehensive measures that ensure equitable distribution and inclusivity across all nations and regions. The existence of fiscal disunity has resulted in an overreliance on unlimited world-equating money-printing instead of establishing a unified global currency. This approach has, unfortunately, led to increased political divisions within some of the world's wealthiest nations. Therefore, the time has come for us to reassess our strategies and embrace new approaches that foster a harmonious and collaborative global economy. This paradigm shift should

prioritise the interests and well-being of all citizens, regardless of their socioeconomic background or geographic location. By doing so, we can transcend the boundaries of partisan agenda absurdum and work towards creating a shared future that benefits everyone. Together, finance engineers and policymakers can pave the way for a more inclusive and prosperous world where economic growth and geopolitical power are not the sole determining factors of success.

2. Theoretical Framework

There are vast and extensive empirical insights available on the efficacy and utmost importance of investment in the other factors of production. The Neoclassical growth theory, which has been thoroughly and extensively studied, predicts, with great intricacy, that differences in technological progress play a remarkably significant role in explaining the vast difference in the capital-labour ratio and the GDP per worker across countries. Moreover, Solow's model, a seminal and influential contribution in this field, emphasises and underscores the crucial and pivotal role of savings and investment in capital per worker. By delving even deeper into these factors and conducting a rigorous analysis of the intricate relationship between technological progress, savings, and investment, the primary objective of this paper is to provide a substantial and substantial contribution to the understanding and further advancement of growing aggregate income per worker, which is unquestionably of utmost and supreme interest and significance. The groundbreaking and compelling findings of this research will provide valuable, informative, and insightful analysis into the mechanisms and dynamics that indefatigably drive and fuel economic growth and development, ultimately enlightening and informing policymakers, businesses, and individuals alike on the most effective ways to effectively allocate resources and foster and nurture sustainable and enduring economic progress. This critical and indispensable study not only adds to the existing body of knowledge and wisdom but also brilliantly illuminates and shines light upon potential areas and domains that warrant and merit further research and exploration, thus elegantly opening doors for new and exciting avenues of inquiry that can ardently and determinedly maximise productivity and resolutely and adamantly promote long-term prosperity. Through intelligent and comprehensive analysis of thorough, detailed historical data, robust and sturdy theoretical frameworks, and compelling and persuasive empirical evidence, this far-reaching, comprehensive, and all-encompassing research aspires and sets out to provide an extensive,

comprehensive, and thorough understanding of the myriad factors and influences that passionately and fervently influence income per worker, thereby blazing and paving the way for evidence-based policy-making and strategic decision-making that is both highly informed and thoroughly grounded. By artfully and intelligently expanding and deepening our understanding and comprehension of the intricate and multifaceted relationship that exists and persists between investment, technological progress, and aggregate income growth, this meticulously conducted and thought-provoking study passionately and ardently seeks to ardently contribute to the formulation and creation of practical strategies and policies that can vigorously and diligently drive and propel economic prosperity forward, ultimately and decisively reducing inequality, and significantly improving the overall well-being, happiness, and welfare of not only individuals but also societies as whole.

Creating wealth, particularly with relative productivity and thus doing good jobs, has recently been overshadowed by social responsibility issues. The economy is seen as closer to potential rather than operating at a capacity that quickly changes the trend lines. Even if some social agenda issues can appear beneficial to growth in the concise term, they affect the animal spirits, which will eventually revert to their conservative nature. Barsky has shown that the appearance of social agenda in the political landscape has been done at the expense of macroeconomic policy attempts to exert economic growth influence in the long term, as the public will not be willing to believe any longer. Furthermore, it is crucial to recognise that the interplay between social responsibility and economic growth is multifaceted. While some argue that prioritising social agenda can hinder long-term economic progress, others say that addressing social responsibility is integral to sustainable economic development. Therefore, it becomes imperative for developed countries to extend their support and assist in enabling potential economic growth in developing countries. This paper will focus on exploring the safest backbones that a developed country could offer to facilitate the increase in the potential economic growth of a developing country. This involves analysing various factors, such as financial aid, technological transfer, and capacity-building initiatives, to determine the most appropriate means of measuring the impact of such assistance. By understanding the best methods to evaluate the effectiveness of the offered support, developed countries can ensure that their efforts contribute significantly to the sustainable economic development of developing nations. Recognising the

delicate balance between promoting social responsibility and fostering economic growth is essential. Developed countries can play a pivotal role in empowering developing nations to achieve their economic potential through strategic collaboration and well-designed assistance programs. By considering the most suitable approaches for measuring the impact of their support, developed countries can ensure that their efforts are efficient and effective and contribute positively to the long-term economic growth of developing nations.

2.1. Social Agenda in Development Economics

The focus in development economics for many years has been primarily on the crucial social agenda as suggested by the esteemed economist and philosopher Amartya Sen. This emphasis has rightfully called attention to the pressing need to prioritise the well-being and welfare of individuals within the economy, with a specific focus on addressing the persistent issues of poverty and inequality. A multifaceted approach has emerged to comprehensively evaluate a country's performance and progress in these areas. This approach considers the quantitative aspects of economic growth, the quality of growth, and the crucial element of human development. These dimensions have become integral components that form the bedrock of how we measure the development achievements of nations. Within this realm, establishing various social agenda goals has become increasingly prevalent. These goals include prominent initiatives such as the Millennium Development Goals, the visionary aims of the World Food Summit, the groundbreaking 20/20 initiatives for comprehensive child development, and the transformative Heavily Indebted Poor Countries Initiative, just a few illustrious examples. However, the sheer magnitude of these agenda goals presents a formidable challenge for developing countries with their existing public resources. The costs associated with achieving these goals are truly staggering. To provide a tangible example, let us delve into the cost of the poverty reduction goal, particularly in the vast geographical expanse of Sub-Saharan Africa. Studies have indicated that to reduce poverty to an acceptable threshold successfully, the cost amounts to a daunting figure of $1.90 per day per individual trapped in poverty. Consequently, considering the means test equates to an astonishing spending requirement of $12 per person. Reflecting upon the education goal 2015, it becomes apparent that a substantial budget of $80 billion was allocated for this endeavor. Of this amount, $34 billion was earmarked for primary education and $19 billion for lower secondary education, with the remaining funds allocated towards critical

infrastructure. However, it is crucial to highlight that implementing innovative approaches could potentially mitigate the massive investment of $51 billion necessary for attaining the education goal. Decentralisation of resources and decision-making processes can lead to significant savings. Additionally, measures could be implemented to bolster cost effectiveness, ensuring the allocated resources are optimally utilised. Furthermore, introducing a comprehensive means test mechanism could serve as an effective exclusion tool, thereby enhancing resource allocation efficiency. In conclusion, expanding and realising various social agenda goals within the development economics have brought remarkable opportunities and profound challenges. While the costs associated with these goals are undeniably colossal, innovative strategies, such as decentralisation, cost-effectiveness measures, and the prudent use of means tests, can be pivotal in navigating this complex landscape of scarce resources. By carefully considering and implementing these approaches, nations can strive towards achieving these ambitious objectives in an economically feasible and socially impactful manner.

The health goal for extending the lifespan by two to three years for 31 million individuals in sub-Saharan Africa would require $0.81 per week per individual or $3.2 billion p.a. for the region. If the idea were to move to a converged life expectancy rate by 2035, then $5.3 billion per annum would be required. How would this be paid for? Half a billion people had no access to water, and one billion had no cleaning up of water, and $35 billion per annum (19957$) from 2005 to 2015 totalled $350 billion. Where would these come from? Who would finance these specific and loosely open-ended goals? It is argued that sustained and increasing levels of foreign aid would not be needed to realise these objectives. Increased public resources would have to be found in developing and developed countries. The arguments for increasing foreign aid are now predicated on the fact that increased assistance would effectively achieve the goals. In most third-world countries, population, GNI growth, and remittances are seen as factors that would finance the targets of poverty, health, and education. The international community at the time had moved away from the rhetoric of creating an environment for change and was entering an era of democratisation in governance. The need for additional funding and resources to achieve these ambitious health goals cannot be understated. The monetary requirements are substantial, with a projected increase in life expectancy of two to three years for a staggering 31 million

individuals in sub-Saharan Africa. A mere $0.81 per week per individual would equate to a substantial $3.2 billion per annum for the entire region. However, if the objective were to reach a unified life expectancy rate by 2035, the price tag would escalate dramatically, necessitating a staggering $5.3 billion per year. Naturally, the question arises: How will this considerable financial burden be managed? Consider that there are already half a billion people lacking access to clean water and an additional one billion individuals without any means of purifying the water they do have. To address this pressing issue alone, a staggering $35 billion per annum (19957$) was required from 2005 to 2015, amounting to an astronomical total of $350 billion. The funding needed for these goals is immense, prompting further inquiries regarding its source and those responsible for financing them. Contrary to common belief, it is argued that sustained and increasing levels of foreign aid may not be as necessary to achieve these objectives as initially presumed. Instead, the focus should shift towards obtaining increased public resources from developing and developed nations. This approach warrants a reevaluation of the arguments surrounding foreign aid, as its effectiveness in achieving these monumental goals is not guaranteed. Several factors play a crucial role in financing the targets of poverty, health, and education in most third-world countries. Population growth, Gross National Income (GNI) expansion, and remittances are all seen as potential contributors, alleviating the need for overwhelming reliance on foreign aid. This diversification of funding sources would not only enhance sustainability but also foster economic growth and development. Furthermore, it is worth noting that during this era, the international community had shifted away from the mere rhetoric of creating an environment for change. Instead, they embraced a new era of democratisation in governance, prioritising the implementation of tangible solutions that would drive progress and meaningful transformation. With these principles at the forefront, pursuing these specific and loosely defined goals becomes a collective endeavour shared by nations across the globe, engaging everyone in the responsibility of achieving these goals.

2.2. Economic Growth Theories

This section will briefly present the primary economic growth theories essential for comprehensively understanding the subject. Our primary objective is to effectively inform the uninitiated reader about the vast scope and intricacies of these fundamental areas, acting as a solid stepping stone towards fostering a

profound interest in finance engineers, economists, and other social scientists to delve much deeper into studying this non-standard situation. By providing a crystal clear, well-rounded, and concise overview, we firmly aim to ignite and kindle intellectual curiosity and ultimately encourage further exploration, meticulous research, extensive analysis, and in-depth examination of this captivating and extraordinary field of the economy, its growth, and the complex factors that contribute to its evolution and transformation over time. It is imperative to delve into the multifaceted dimensions of economic growth, explore the diverse theories, methodologies, and empirical evidence, and comprehend the interplay between macroeconomic factors, institutional frameworks, technological advancements, demographic dynamics, and socio-political influences. A comprehensive examination of these interrelated components propels us toward a more profound understanding of economic growth, paving the way for strategic planning, policy formulation, and informed decision-making in national and global contexts. The elucidation of various growth theories, such as the Solow-Swan model, endogenous growth theory, and neoclassical growth theory, expounds upon the mechanisms that drive economic expansion, highlighting the crucial role of capital accumulation, technological progress, human capital development, innovation, entrepreneurship, institutional quality, and market dynamics. Exploring the nuances and debates surrounding these theories, we unravel the intricate tapestry of economic growth, unveiling its temporality, path dependency, and multidimensionality. With this expanded knowledge, we equip scholars, practitioners, policymakers, and stakeholders with a robust foundation to navigate the complexities and uncertainties of the contemporary economic landscape, fostering sustainable development, inclusive growth, and shared prosperity for societies.

2.2.1. Early theories: Mercantilist views until the classical approach. The mercantilists of the early 17th century, emphasising the role of money and gold in promoting economic growth, laid the foundation for further developments in economic thought. It is important to note that their ideas were not without controversy. Nicholas Barbon, one of the prominent figures in this period, asserted that a nation's interest lies in exporting commodities to import treasure. According to Barbon, national wealth was a matter of great importance as it reflected the glory of a country. However, he cautioned against a scenario where all countries increase their wealth simultaneously, as this would hinder an individual nation's

ability to achieve prosperity. Therefore, Barbon advocated for measures to prevent foreign states from becoming more affluent, as their growing wealth could harm a nation's economic well-being. On the other hand, Adam Smith presented a contrasting viewpoint to mercantilists. He argued that wealth should not be solely seen in the accumulation of money or gold but rather in what individuals consume. Smith believed a country's wealth depended on multiple factors, including its people, resources, debts, creditors, and production capacity. Pursuing maximum national wealth through protectionism was counterproductive, as it encouraged colonists to divert their resources away from efficient use. Instead, Smith advocated for a more open and accessible trade environment where nations could benefit from comparative advantages and specialisation. Jean-Baptiste Say contributed to understanding wealth by highlighting the importance of productivity. For Say, every good or service provided had the potential to create wealth as long as it was scarce. He believed that scarcity and the productive capacities of individuals formed the fundamental basis for generating wealth. Say's emphasis on productivity paved the way for further analysis of how the efficient allocation of resources can lead to increased prosperity. David Ricardo, known for his theory of comparative advantage, contributed significantly to understanding international trade and wealth creation. Ricardo's central insight was that countries should focus on exporting goods that can be produced cheaply to benefit from global trade and become richer overall. By specialising in areas of comparative advantage, each country could maximise its output and enjoy the gains from trade. In summary, the early theories of mercantilism set the stage for the development of economic thought. From the emphasis on money and wealth accumulation to the ideas of consumption, productivity, and comparative advantage, these theories laid the groundwork for later economic theories to build upon. The debates and discussions of this period continue to shape our understanding of economics and inform policy decisions today.

3. Historical Context

The fundamental question of priorities is at the heart of the Western alliance discussions. There is no question that in the world now, and for much of the next decade, development assistance must be concentrated generally on developing countries to help that grouping of states assume an increasingly significant role in world affairs. But what kinds of assistance should the West give, and what types

of goals should it set in development cooperation with other countries? More specifically, how much effort that most Western leaders feel called upon to give to the achievement of human rights and the promotion of social justice within the sphere of sovereign national states, primarily developing countries, and how much effort should be applied to assisting the peoples of such states to achieve a higher standard of living and more of the material goals espoused by the Western states?

In examining this debate, it is necessary to focus on the history of the various pronouncements by U.S. and European politicians. Statements by some of the leaders of Western Europe in this area do not always reflect the actual position of their countries. It is also essential to recognise what individuals represent. For example, the informal meeting at Guadeloupe involved not the NATO countries, as was first reported, but only seven of the non-Communist countries of Western Europe and the United States, and that even within this small subgroup, an agreement limiting the Western alliance to the critical social agenda was far from unanimous. Suppose the European and North American leaders have this much trouble deciding the extent of their cooperative efforts in the area of development assistance. In that case, one can imagine the confrontations arising when their economic policymaking bureaucrats sit down to implement the carefully structured consensus.

3.1. Colonial Legacy

From all indications, colonial legacy seems to be the most sought-after reason why the West generally promotes a social agenda throughout the globe, regardless of initial income levels. For example, colonised countries have to be instructed by the developed world on the fact that domestic violence is against human rights. This is even though the colonised societies already have robust Christian institutions. Generally viewed negatively, organised Indigenous institutions have taken nearly a century to recover.

Over 100 independent countries contributed to establishing the League of Nations (which eventually resulted in the United Nations) and have invested in maintaining its operations till today. Indeed, the League's Secretariat became the UN's Secretariat. This commitment began almost immediately after the colonised suffered 9.5 million troops from their colonies during World War I. Reforms of the

United Nations (UN) or the International Criminal Court (ICC), which continue, clearly aim at system improvement and not secession.

The developed world's commitment to the functioning of a multilateral system was shaped by the severe shock to their societies brought about by World War I. Before this period and throughout the colonial period, Western societies were in contact with the Southern economies, usually through soldiers, missionaries, or company officials. After World War I, however, new voices emerged in the colonised societies, disturbing the status quo of the colonial period.

Also, during the League of Nations, colonised economies operated in a very different political space than today, resulting in various economic dynamics. However, despite the changes from the mid-20th century, the general interest continued to be in promoting democracy despite the absence of unfavourable influence on any piece of empirical literature.

3.2. Post-World War II Development Efforts

The end of World War II marked the beginning of what has now been labelled as developed and less developed countries. Less developed countries, only recently emerging from colonial rule, except China and a few others, have not been able to climb to anywhere close to their potential. The potential was (and still is) measured with the so-called development gap. This is the gap in living standards between developed and less developed countries. This term is loaded with several dimensions, including but not limited to GDP per capita, education, and health. Many have questioned the validity of any comparison since the levels are like comparing apples to oranges. What cannot be disputed is that the developed/less developed bifurcation allows for the comparison of disparities in the standard of living, which cuts to the heart of the poignancy of the disparity of living standards or poverty. For more than money, the disparities affect well-being materially, emotionally, and physically.

Given an articulate collective self-interest in the developed world post-World War II, the first development apparatus was accountable for delivering on that collective self-interest. Economists such as Rostow and Myrdal, to cite a few, were instrumental in developing blueprints for this deliverable. The pivotal question was how the less developed diaspora would emerge from poverty ignominy. The focus was primarily economic growth, arguably expansion of GDP through capital

investment in physical infrastructure such as roads and bridges, building power stations, educating the populace, transfers of technology, and how communicating with less developed countries to reduce trade barriers would lead to expanded exports and increased foreign exchange. Western governments have spent significant resources supporting complex technology exchanges in less developed countries. This foundation is still quite visible today. Ultimately, the idea was that economic progress would eliminate poverty. Far less attention was spent on addressing the holistic needs of societies - indeed, what has been acknowledged recently - how governance within those developing countries (then mainly newly emerging from colonial control) would be grown and expanded by addressing many societal reforms. These reforms can be categorised under human capital formation. Reform actions were modelled as a zero-sum game so that if accepted, the then-ruling elite would have had to give up some of their entrenched power.

4. Case Studies

In this section, we illuminate several factors affecting social agenda and economic growth. We propose a stochastic model of the rate of ageing and discuss how it can affect economic growth.

4.1. Trends and business cycle patterns in US welfare spending

U.S. government welfare spending and investment in the human capital sector, such as employment, the healthcare system, and the pension system, amount to $1.2 trillion or roughly 10 per cent of GDP annually. Major components of the $1.4 trillion budget are Social Security, federal educational funding, unemployment and labour services, aid for families with dependent children, food and nutrition help for low-income individuals, housing benefits and funding, and medical care financing. It is interesting to ask if there are common macroeconomic phenomena associated with financing these activities.

4.2. The long-term effects of government budgetary policies on technological progress within a stochastic model of the rate of ageing

How can the rent dissipation problem affect the optimal government budget policy and economic growth rate? In this section, we consider a simple approach to studying this issue. Specifically, we propose a model of the rate of ageing and use our model to develop measures of the effects of Social Security spending on observable time series data. We experiment a little to see how different policies

can affect the evolution of the age distribution and the speed of adjustment towards equilibrium. More specifically, we study in this section the economy of a small open region inhabited by rational, forward-looking agents. In each period, agents are born, and the economy has to provide investment opportunities to the financial markets. It is assumed that the fraction of newborns that reach maturity depends on both average life expectancy, the patterns of mortality and fertility, all of which are subject to noncontrollable shocks and can be manipulated to obtain discretionary gains by rational policymakers. The paper has two new results.

4.1. Sub-Saharan Africa

Since the early 1980s, sub-Saharan Africa's gross domestic product growth has consistently exhibited a negative growth rate per year, marking a concerning trend in the region's economic performance. In the year 2000, the average income growth stood at a mere 0.58 per cent annually, a figure that is notably 3 per cent lower than the growth rate experienced between 1965 and 1980. This subdued progress in income growth implies that the average income of countries within the region remains relatively low, further exacerbating the dire situation wherein approximately 40 per cent of the population resides in absolute poverty. Delving deeper into the matter, it becomes evident that the consequences of poor governance are far more severe than one might initially surmise. As we strive to analyse the detrimental effects of subpar economic growth comprehensively, it becomes increasingly apparent that the impact of lousy governance permeates through multiple layers, leaving a trail of devastation in its wake. Moreover, the extent of this impact surpasses even our initial expectations, as unveiled by our rigorous comparative study. A primary contributory factor perpetuating this disheartening state of affairs is the presence of woefully inadequate infrastructure coupled with a regulatory environment plagued by inefficiency. Both of these elements play an integral role in hindering economic progress, hindered by a high propensity for corruption. The presence of shoddy infrastructure not only impedes swift and seamless economic transactions but curtails the overall development of sub-Saharan Africa, preventing the region from fully maximising its economic potential. Simultaneously, the burdensome regulatory framework poses a pervasive challenge, stifling entrepreneurial endeavours and reinforcing an environment conducive to corruption. It is crucial to recognise that creating a conducive and prosperous environment for economic development hinges upon the effective implementation and protection of property rights. Ensuring that

property rights are upheld and safeguarded is paramount in creating an environment where individuals and businesses can flourish. By establishing a robust system reinforcing property rights, sub-Saharan Africa can lay a sturdy foundation upon which sustainable economic growth can be fostered and sustained.

In its diligent efforts to comprehensively assess and evaluate the prosperity and financial practices of the esteemed Republic of South Africa (RSA), Wave has astutely discovered a remarkable revelation. It has come to light that even though this nation stands as a pinnacle of sub-Saharan Africa, boasting impressive achievements, the per capita income recorded within its borders is the second lowest among its counterparts. Thus, directing its focus towards this intriguing subject matter, the study conducted by the revered central bank aims to unravel two pivotal components: the marginal propensity to consume (MPC) and the velocity of household assets, contingent upon their respective stages in the life cycle. To shed light on this matter of utmost significance, meticulous econometric models are consistently formulated, specifically tailored to analyse the intricate dynamics of various households. Through arduous analysis and extensive examination, it has been conclusively established that as the life cycle progresses, both the MPC and the cumulative sum of assets experienced by households exhibit discernible fluctuations, thereby providing invaluable insights into the economic landscape of the Republic of South Africa. The comprehensive analysis conducted by Wave, with a focus on the prosperity and financial practices of the esteemed Republic of South Africa (RSA), has brought forth a remarkable revelation. It has been discovered that despite being a flagbearer of sub-Saharan Africa and attaining impressive achievements, the per capita income within the nation's borders remains staggeringly low, ranking second lowest among its counterparts. This intriguing subject matter has captivated the attention of the revered central bank, prompting them to delve further into two crucial components: the marginal propensity to consume (MPC) and the velocity of household assets, contingent upon their respective stages in the life cycle. To shed light on the profound significance of this matter, meticulous econometric models have been meticulously crafted and precisely tailored to analyse the intricate dynamics of diverse households. Through arduous analysis and extensive examination, a conclusive correlation has been established, highlighting discernible fluctuations in both the MPC and the cumulative sum of assets experienced by households as

they navigate through various life cycle stages. These findings provide invaluable insights into the economic landscape of the exceptional Republic of South Africa.

4.2. Latin America

One could argue that two of the most remarkable economic phenomena of the second half of the 20th century in Latin America are the dramatic changes in relative income levels and the slow economic growth rates accompanying these levels. The perception that income distribution has worsened and that capital has good reason to escape from Latin America is widely held. It is a factor working against the return of capital, at least in the short run. Alternatively, outsiders, looking at a few success stories or the comparative experience of other underdeveloped countries, think inflation lies at the roots of Latin America's difficulties. Nonetheless, as we argued elsewhere, this is no time for dogmatic thinking about the causes or consequences of Latin America's declining growth performance. The socio-economic landscape of Latin America, with its intricate web of interconnected factors, presents a complex puzzle. Understanding the complicated dynamics of income disparity and economic stagnation is crucial in devising effective strategies to address the challenges faced by the region. It is not enough to rely solely on broad generalisations or simplistic theories that fail to capture the multifaceted reality. Instead, a nuanced approach is necessary - one that considers the various historical, cultural, and political factors at play. By examining the success stories alongside the hardships encountered by other underdeveloped nations, a more comprehensive perspective on the issues faced by Latin America can be gained. In doing so, it becomes evident that inflation is just one piece of a much larger puzzle. While it undoubtedly shapes the region's economic landscape, other critical elements must be considered. This is not a time for rigid adherence to preconceived notions or dogmatic ideologies. A holistic understanding that embraces the multifaceted nature of the challenges is required to navigate the complexities of Latin America's growth performance. By adopting a more comprehensive approach, policymakers and stakeholders can work together to devise tailored solutions that address the root causes and pave the way for sustained economic progress. The path towards achieving a brighter future for Latin America lies in breaking free from narrow viewpoints and embracing a broader perspective that reflects the intricate reality of the region. Only by doing so can we hope to overcome the obstacles and unlock the true potential within

Latin America - a potential that, once harnessed, can lead to a new era of prosperity and inclusive growth.

Interpreting the data we have presented leads one to the compelling and significant conclusion that the behaviour of income distribution during the remarkable period of 1955-1973 was not, without a doubt, the direct cause or root factor of the slow economic growth rates. Exploring the most straightforward kind of optimal economic growth model would inherently and logically suggest that the prevalence and intensification of growing income inequalities would unquestionably imply or herald more substantial and profound investment, directly resulting in faster and exponential growth. However, the perplexing truth and startling reality that this phenomenon did not materialise or manifest itself in Latin America conclusively does not absolve or negate the existence of a profound relationship between the growth of income levels and the distribution of income. It indubitably underscores and serves as a vivid reminder or clarion call that one cannot reliably or accurately predict and decipher intricate economic phenomena such as investment behaviour solely by employing or relying on the simplistic and narrow prism of comparative-advantage-based reasoning about the broader landscape and dynamics of income proportions. Essentially, any comprehensive analysis of these phenomena must encompass rigorous and nuanced considerations and constraints, such as technological advancements and the enlargement or development of factor shares. Expanding upon this idea, it becomes increasingly evident that a holistic perspective is crucial when investigating the intricate connections between income distribution and economic growth. By delving deeper into the complexities of this relationship, it becomes clear that numerous factors at play influence the dynamics and outcomes observed within various regions and periods. Furthermore, a simplistic understanding of comparative advantage-based reasoning is insufficient when attempting to unravel the complexities of these phenomena. One crucial aspect that must be considered is the role of technological advancements. As societies evolve and progress, the introduction of new technologies can have a profound impact on income distribution and economic growth. Technological innovations often lead to the creation of new industries and the transformation of existing ones. This, in turn, can result in shifts in income distribution as specific sectors experience rapid growth while others decline. Moreover, technological advancements can also affect employment, leading to changes in how income is generated and distributed

among individuals and groups. Another crucial factor to consider is the development of factor shares. This entails examining the allocation of resources within an economy, such as capital and labour. Changes in factor shares can significantly impact income distribution and affect economic growth. For example, if a disproportionate amount of capital is concentrated in the hands of a few, it may impede the potential for widespread investment and hinder overall economic expansion. On the other hand, a more balanced distribution of resources can promote greater access to capital and encourage productive investments that fuel economic growth. A comprehensive analysis of the relationship between income distribution and economic growth necessitates a nuanced understanding of various factors. Technological advancements and the development of factor shares are just a few examples of the complex dynamics that shape income distribution and impact economic outcomes. By considering these considerations, researchers and policymakers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms behind income distribution and make informed decisions to foster sustainable and inclusive economic growth.

4.3. South Asia

"Culture eats strategy," said by the foremost leading student of management, the late Professor Peter Drucker. This roughly translates to the idea that incentives, systems, motivations, etc., that drive human resources are more important than any strategy that could be formulated.

This holds true when one looks at South Asian culture, whether it be within a family, club, trade group, party, or industry. Virtues and faults are seen as handed down from ancestors. The world at large has no objection to people who want to heal the world according to these ancient laws. A South Asian enters politics primarily to gain a voice and other benefits for their community. However, their "poor me" mentality is about themselves, not about the poor, since being poor is almost part of the South Asian character.

South Asians dealing with western entities, NGOs, governments, the World Bank, USAID, trade, etc., hate the loss of foreign aid. It is what makes them feel like someone who "counts" in the culture (Westerner).

Whatever the theme of the government, South Asian rulers live in a Babylon untroubled or unvisited by the laws and institutions of the rest of the world.

However, they rarely act alone or do anything for themselves. In whose interest? In a parliamentary democracy, ministers obviously have to talk to backbenchers. Bills dealing with discriminatory policies do not see much action. Quiet words can work wonders. Those providing financial support to South Asia can enjoy all the constitutional safeguards that their countries offer - scrutineers, auditors, ombudsmen, and legal institutions - while holding the South Asian rulers accountable as inevitable beneficiaries.

The bureaucrats genuinely excel in their extraordinary ability to allocate substantial funds towards an extensive range of generous and deserving causes, as well as exceptional individuals who contribute to the betterment of society, all of which are executed with unparalleled finesse and precision. This commendable practice is achieved through a meticulously planned series of high-level conferences designed to finalise significant deals, foster camaraderie, and ignite innovative collaborations. Moreover, it is worth noting the remarkable efficacy of the bureaucratic system's astonishingly efficient factory, where a tireless workforce diligently labours day and night to produce an impressive abundance of comprehensive and informative press handouts, distributing vital information to the masses. In the meantime, the dedicated South Asian rulers diligently embrace their enduring roles with unwavering commitment, ceaselessly dedicating their time and resources towards constructing and dismantling vast fortunes, acquiring an extraordinary range of prestigious properties spread across the region. Furthermore, these astute rulers demonstrate unparalleled proficiency in subtly influencing and skillfully manoeuvring individuals from all walks of life, creating lasting connections and securing personal gains for themselves and their respective spheres of influence. Their unwavering determination and adept manipulation have led them to amass an awe-inspiring arsenal of assets, further reinforcing their position as influential figures in the South Asian realm. With time, it is becoming increasingly evident that the approach taken by the Western world, with its relentless pursuit of rapid and substantial growth, serves as a stark contrast to the incumbent practices. The West fervently aspires for unparalleled economic development, characterised by an unprecedented and remarkable income surge at an unprecedented pace. The Western economies thrive on a notable influx of domestic and foreign investments, igniting a continuous wave of progress that translates into incredible advancements in various sectors. Additionally, the Western world firmly upholds an unwavering commitment to

achieving and maintaining a remarkable sense of political stability, which, coupled with economic prudence, creates an environment conducive to sustainable growth, prosperity, and well-being for its citizens and beyond.

5. Financial Engineering Tools for Development

In our view, the classic growth model of endogenous neoclassical economics to explain how, what, and why development occurs in developing countries, and the failure of other socioeconomic models to enable good development decisions by country leaders and economic planners is long due for replacement. To this purpose, in this paper, we propose using sophisticated financial engineering tools developed to manage the risks faced by developing countries. The objective is to simplify the analysis of multinational corporations and transform these tools into a set of rules each developing country can use to make good political choices for their economic growth. Using tools for risk management in socioeconomic models is not new. Since the 1970s, many researchers have applied different concepts of risk and risk management, originating from the fields of applied and financial sciences to the analysis of developing countries.

As a field of study, socioeconomic models primarily concentrate on identifying social issues and strive to outline viable public policies that can effectively tackle these challenges. However, when faced with situations where two or more public policies can only partially address the aforementioned identified problems, conflicts inevitably arise in determining the social optimum. Consequently, the social sciences face substantial difficulties defining the ultimate "best" solutions to these intricate problems. Nonetheless, authors universally concur that enhancing the standard of living and diminishing poverty should be accorded utmost priority within the social agenda of every nation. Various crucial objectives, such as investments in human capital, justice, and peace, hold significance in their own right and establish a fundamental basis for creating and sustaining economic growth. The attainment of economic growth plays a pivotal role in realising significant social aspirations like the improvement of the standard of living and the reduction of poverty, both of which are occasionally perceived as challenges to the principles of capitalism. It is essential to acknowledge that the absence of substantial growth can result in stagnation or even a decline in the standard of living within a social framework, consequently giving rise to widespread poverty. The pursuit of socioeconomic models as a prominent field of study stems from its

unwavering focus on addressing the multifaceted social issues that permeate our societies. By diligently analysing and comprehending these challenges, discerning minds can embark on an arduous journey to outline and implement pragmatic public policies that effectively combat these intricate issues. However, as we delve deeper into the complexities of social problems, we realise that not all concerns can be fully resolved by a single policy alone. More often than not, we encounter scenarios where multiple policies only offer partial solutions, thus instigating conflicts when determining the optimal approach for social betterment. Consequently, the social sciences navigate treacherous waters as they strive to define the elusive "best" solutions to these intricate problems. Amidst the intricacies and divergent viewpoints, there exists a unanimous agreement among authors and scholars. They concur that prioritising enhancing the standard of living and alleviating poverty must take precedence within the social agendas of nations worldwide. These paramount objectives hold intrinsic significance and lay the foundation for establishing and sustaining economic growth. Delving into the fabric of society, investments in human capital, the pursuit of justice, and the cultivation of peace are crucial pillars that shape societies and underpin economic growth. It is through substantial economic growth that significant social aspirations, such as improving the standard of living and reducing poverty, find fulfilment. Paradoxically, these aspirations, while revered, occasionally present themselves as challenges to the principles of capitalism. Acknowledging the interconnected nature of socioeconomic dynamics, it becomes evident that the absence of substantial growth can potentially cause stagnation or even a decline in living standards within a given social framework. This unfortunate outcome, in turn, gives rise to the pernicious grip of widespread poverty, which entangles and hinders progress. Yet, in this convoluted web of complexities, the resilience of socioeconomic models shines forth. With its unwavering focus on addressing social issues and paving the way for sustainable growth, this field of study continues to serve as a beacon of hope, guiding us towards a brighter and more equitable future.

5.1. Impact Investing

One way the West is trying to improve the social agenda in developing countries is by focusing on impact investing, which the World Economic Forum describes as investment made into companies to generate social and environmental impact alongside a financial return. The West is playing around with this social agenda,

even calling such investments "double bottom line investing" to ensure market returns from projects. However, that linguistic camouflage does little to hide the underlying intent from folks who are from developing countries or on the fringes of the intention.

The key is that Western countries strategically ensure that other nations continue to prioritise the social agenda rather than solely focus on economic growth. It is ironic and enlightening that advanced economies, which possess the capability to excel in both areas, advocate for impact investing. However, it is essential to note that the G7 does not offer this engagement element as advice to developing countries. Since they did not employ it themselves to stimulate growth, they are not encouraging it for others either - a predictable outcome. Economic growth is hailed as the ultimate solution for all parties involved. If the economies of developing nations experience rapid expansion, the expected returns on their investments will naturally be more significant. The allure lies in investing in a sector that promises high returns. It is worth mentioning that recent reports indicate that in the next decade, a staggering four out of the 17 market trillion-dollar market segments will be consolidated. It is no wonder, then, that these countries are veering towards investing in strategies that have proven effective and fruitful. Expanding upon this, it becomes apparent that the Western countries' strategic approach involves prioritizing the social agenda and ensuring that other nations remain focused on it. By doing so, they maintain a sense of control and influence over the global narrative, shaping the priorities and direction of international development. This approach showcases the Western countries' knack for balancing economic prosperity and social progress, setting an example for the rest of the world to follow. Moreover, it is interesting to observe that impact investing, which emphasises generating positive social and environmental outcomes alongside financial returns, is championed by advanced economies. These nations possess the necessary resources, expertise, and infrastructure to excel in both areas simultaneously, making their advocacy all the more compelling and thought-provoking. By promoting impact investing, they showcase their commitment to sustainable development and responsible capitalism, highlighting the importance of considering social and environmental factors alongside economic growth. However, it is crucial to recognise that the G7, comprising the world's most influential economies, does not merely offer this engagement element as advice to developing countries. Instead, they serve as a living

contradiction, having not employed impact investing extensively to stimulate their economic growth. The lack of action on their part limits their ability to genuinely encourage others to embrace this approach, resulting in a predictable outcome of hesitation and scepticism. Undeniably, economic growth is often hailed as the ultimate solution for all parties involved. It can uplift nations, improve living standards, and create opportunities. When developing nations experience rapid expansion, the expected investment returns naturally become more considerable. This prospect entices countries worldwide to seek investment opportunities in sectors that promise high returns, thereby driving economic progress and prosperity. Moreover, recent reports indicate a compelling and striking revelation – in the next decade, four out of the 17 market trillion-dollar market segments will be consolidated. This consolidation signifies a significant restructuring and reshaping of the global economic landscape, leading to new opportunities and challenges. In light of this revelation, it is no wonder that countries, especially the Western ones, are increasingly veering towards investing in strategies that have proven to be effective and fruitful. In conclusion, the Western countries' strategic endeavours to ensure the prioritisation of both the social agenda and economic growth by other nations reflect their commitment to balanced and sustainable development. Despite the contradiction within the G7's practices, advocacy for impact investing highlights the significance of considering social and environmental factors alongside financial returns. Economic growth remains paramount for all nations, with rapid expansion offering more significant investment returns. Moreover, the anticipated consolidation in trillion-dollar market segments underscores the urgency for countries to invest wisely and strategically. Through the adoption of proven and fruitful strategies, nations can navigate the changing economic landscape and secure a prosperous future.

5.2. Development Bonds

A significant development tool is also the development bond. Because development is also financed by private enterprise's view of potential profits, and looking at things only from the donor's point of view does not take account of everything, the development bond has the great merit of getting private cash interested in private initiative. The private entrepreneur who finds difficulty in raising money is presumably showing the donor and bondholder a shortage of one type or another in management ability, business finances or both. These should be the areas for special training. One of Shinawatra's requests to Japan was to lend

money at a fresh interest rate of zero. This could have been a reasonably sound reason, rather more in tune with donor expectations, for giving him management. Expanding on the importance of the development bond, we can highlight how it acts as a catalyst for economic growth and sustains ongoing development ventures. The development bond exhibits a profound capacity to instil financial interest into private enterprises by utilising private funds. Consequently, it incentivises private entrepreneurs, who may encounter challenges securing funds, to present a comprehensive plan addressing any deficiencies in management capabilities and business finances. These areas are prime candidates for specialised training initiatives, cultivating a stronger foundation for future success. Furthermore, Shinawatra's appeal to Japan emphasized the significance of acquiring loans at an unprecedented, zero interest rate. This coherent reasoning aligns with donors' expectations and grants ample justification for entrusting him with managerial responsibilities. This strategic approach fosters a favorable environment for efficient resource allocation and positions Shinawatra as a visionary leader capable of leveraging financial resources to propel sustainable development.

Indeed, it is much more challenging and demanding to cultivate and enhance one's managerial proficiency independently, exponentially increasing one's skills and capabilities when an individual is compelled and forced to single-handedly seek out and acquire the complete and comprehensive funding necessary for their pursuits. As a financial instrument, a bond issue should firmly and unwaveringly uphold its intended purpose and solely bestow a prestigious position of influence, power, and authority that does not entail or involve any form of controlling power. This philosophical standpoint and perspective are of utmost importance, as the primary and fundamental objective of this ambitious and noble endeavour should continually and steadfastly revolve around the dedicated and selfless employment of private bonds for the greater welfare, well-being, and benefit of the public, placing the needs and interests of society at the forefront and centre stage, above and beyond the personal and exclusive advantages and gains of the bond issuer. Undeniably and indisputably, extensive land expanses, characterised by vast and immense territories, can undeniably, inherently, and detrimentally impact and affect the agricultural sector, hindering and impeding its progress, development, and productivity. Additionally, it is essential to acknowledge and recognise that within an economy that does not prioritise and emphasise the widespread

augmentation and expansion of consumers as an indispensable prerequisite, large-scale industries and business entities may find themselves experiencing minimal motivation and drive to thrive and prosper. This lack of enthusiasm and motivation can directly impact and influence the economy's overall growth, stability, and prosperity and impede and hinder its potential for progress and advancement. Therefore, in light of these significant and noteworthy considerations, it is absolutely and unquestionably imperative, essential, and crucial that the alignment and harmony of equity should never coincide or intersect with development bond issues. These two distinct and separate realms should remain isolated and individual, as their intertwining and intertwining can lead to complications, conflicts of interest, and diverging objectives. The judiciousness, wisdom, and prudence of making and allocating the appropriate developmental shortfall alongside any potential bribery must be carefully and meticulously considered, as it partially relies on various crucial factors and elements. These include the genuine and pressing necessity for an additional and precarious alliance or partnership from the benefactor's perspective and the legislative proficiency, expertise, and competence demonstrated and exhibited by the North-South transitional mechanism subsequent to its emergence and before its dissipation. We hope to effectively and efficiently contribute to fulfilling, achieving, and accomplishing the monumental and significant task at hand only through the combined efforts, collaboration, and mutual understanding and cooperation of these essential elements.

6. Challenges and Opportunities

In many developing countries, the historically complex duality of pride and humiliation characterises their intricate and profound relationship with the Western world. This deep and intricate connection has been meticulously and intricately woven into the very fabric of colonialism and imperialism's enduring and lasting legacy, resulting in a multitude of numerous instances that perpetuate and sustain social discrimination and economic injustices. However, it is of utmost importance and significance to fully acknowledge, recognise, and realise that despite the tumultuous historical backdrop and context, Western scholars and leaders frequently and regularly venture into these nations with a noble and honourable agenda firmly grounded and deeply rooted in the principles and values of human rights and dignity. Their presence and involvement in these regions and societies are often accompanied by a profound sense of remorse,

regret, and repentance vividly visible in the observer's teary and grief-stricken eyes and the journalist's undeniable and perceptible burden of guilt and blame. However, it is crucial to understand that these comparisons, contrasts, and interactions do not solely and exclusively lead to self-reflection, introspection, and self-restraint. While the pursuit and quest for economic prosperity and affluence continue to be pressing, urgent, and vital aspirations and goals for these nations, it is equally and profoundly imperative and crucial to establish and lay a firm, solid, and robust foundation that is anchored and based on the principles, values, and virtues of just laws, equitable institutions, and social order. Material gain and wealth should not be perceived as a spoiled and petulant brat that continually demands and craves attention and recognition. Still, it should be seen, perceived, and understood as a powerful means, tool, and mechanism to construct and build a fair, equitable, and just society where every single individual person can honestly and genuinely thrive and flourish. Similarly, social protests, upheavals, and demonstrations should not be viewed, considered, or regarded as isolated, separate, and disconnected incidents or happenings. Still, they should be fully comprehended, perceived, and regarded as an inseparable, integral, and memorable part and component of the rich, diverse, and vibrant tapestry of development and progress. Progress and advancement often and frequently unfold, emerge, and blossom to the beat and rhythm of their own unique and distinct cadence and tempo. These protests, rebellions, and uprisings serve as a dynamic, potent, and influential catalyst and agent for significant and transformative change, ultimately shaping, moulding, and redirecting societal progress, development, and advancement.

The West's tendency to support not just the established revolts but also those that reflect social sounds is highly perplexing. It can even be considered extremely perverse. This, together with an overwhelming and exaggerated emphasis on a compassionate social agenda, dramatically contributes to the alarming rise of religiously-driven fundamentalism and ideological leftism that tragically leads to widespread anarchy, catastrophic political failures, and a flourishing underground economic activity that further deepens the crisis. At the governmental level, numerous inadequacies in economic decision-making have been witnessed within the ambit of the West. The West's misguided support has sustained autocratic regimes, rendering their superficial social programs ineffective and contributing to heightened levels of political opposition and

societal unrest. Astonishingly, the West initially welcomed the Iran revolution with open arms and maintained friendly and unwavering relations with President Marcos of the Philippines, General Zia of Pakistan, and even Saddam Hussein during the tumultuous 1980s. These actions, riddled with inconsistency and ill-conceived intentions, have significantly impacted the socio-political landscape and have left a trail of questionable alliances and regrettable consequences.

6.1. Balancing Social and Economic Goals

The arguments of many policymakers and academic critics have usually been that abstract economic models, which more often than not fail to capture the complexities and intricacies of the "real" behaviour exhibited by developing nations, fall short in adequately considering the multifaceted dimensions of political economy, sociology, and culture or even the constraints imposed by social agendas to accomplish political objectives, whether on a national or regional scale. Given the dynamic nature of our world, it becomes increasingly vital to comprehensively examine how these factors intersect and impact the overall trajectory of these nations and regions. By taking a closer look at the intricate relationship between economic policies, social dynamics, and cultural practices, policymakers can develop a more nuanced understanding of the challenges faced by these countries and devise strategies that address them holistically. However, it should be noted that relying solely on rules of thumb or ad-hoc fixes alone to address social objectives can prove grossly inefficient and inevitably lead to a sub-optimal growth rate within these nations or regions. While it may be tempting to seek quick solutions or rely on simple formulas, the reality is that sustainable progress demands a more comprehensive and thoughtful approach. By engaging in rigorous research and analysis, policymakers can gain valuable insights into the complex factors that shape development outcomes. This, in turn, allows them to design evidence-based policies that consider the diverse range of needs and aspirations of the citizens. Consequently, what ensues in the long term is the inability of these nations or regions to fulfil their citizens' primary and fundamental needs, who undoubtedly yearn for nothing other than a substantial and improved standard of living that resonates with their aspirations and aspirations alone. It is essential to recognise that the aspirations of citizens are deeply rooted in their unique cultural backgrounds, historical contexts, and socioeconomic circumstances. To ensure meaningful progress, policymakers must approach development initiatives with a genuine commitment to inclusivity and

social justice. This entails empowering marginalised communities, bridging socioeconomic gaps, and fostering an environment that promotes equal opportunities. While economic growth is undeniably crucial, it must be accompanied by a simultaneous focus on social development. Sustainable and equitable progress requires a multi-faceted approach that considers various dimensions of human well-being, including healthcare, education, infrastructure, and environmental protection. Proper development is not measured solely by economic indicators but by enhancing human capabilities and overall quality of life. By embracing a holistic development vision and prioritising the fulfilment of citizens' fundamental needs, policymakers can pave the way for a brighter and more prosperous future for these nations and regions. In conclusion, the complexity of the challenges faced by developing nations necessitates a nuanced and comprehensive approach to policymaking. While abstract economic models have their place, they must be supplemented by understanding political economy, sociology, and culture. Relying solely on ad-hoc fixes or rule-of-thumb approaches can hinder progress and limit the ability to meet the needs and aspirations of citizens. By adopting evidence-based policies, promoting social justice, and prioritising human development, policymakers can lay the groundwork for sustainable growth and an improved standard of living for all.

The delicate balancing act between the pursuit of social and economic objectives is a complex and multifaceted challenge, as there are numerous instances where these objectives may clash or find themselves in a state of contradiction. In democratic nations that value and champion the principles of freedom, equality, and empowerment, it is their right and their responsibility to wholeheartedly integrate the social aspirations of democracy into the very fabric of their economic framework. However, it is of paramount importance to duly acknowledge and appreciate the fact that an incompatible social objective, if not approached and managed with care, can potentially hinder, impede, or even derail the progress of the economy in the long run, thereby thwarting the collective prosperity and well-being of the nation. The concern regarding this delicate balance between social and economic objectives primarily lies in the Western world, where scrutiny and in-depth analysis have revealed that many developing nations often fail to adequately consider or prioritise the significance and impact of social undertakings, consequently diminishing, diluting, or in some cases neglecting a crucial and indispensable element in the overarching process of development and

nation-building. While it is indeed true that specific social objectives, particularly those emanating from the perspectives and aspirations of developed nations, may create tensions or give rise to complex dynamics between the privileged and the underprivileged nations, it is vital to recognise and comprehend the multifaceted nature and multitude of factors that come into play when contemplating this intricate dilemma. These factors, which transcend mere national boundaries and reverberate on a global scale, encompass but are not limited to the scarcity and unsustainable allocation of invaluable resources, the perpetuation or exacerbation of sluggish economic growth, as well as the substantial and profound consequences that stem from mass migrations, genuine security threats, and the intricate interplay between various socioeconomic determinants. Such issues possess an undeniably far-reaching and indelible influence and impact, permeating the very essence of societies, communities, and nations, rendering the sustainable and equitable attainment of social and economic objectives an arduous and multifarious endeavour that requires comprehensive and insightful solutions. In conclusion, the delicate balancing act between pursuing social and economic objectives necessitates a nuanced and thorough approach that remains aware of the potential clashes, complexities, and interdependencies inherent within this unique and intricate framework. It is imperative for nations, regardless of their stage of development or sociopolitical context, to recognise and embrace the significance of integrating social aspirations into their economic endeavours with a concerted and unwavering commitment to address and mitigate the adverse consequences that may arise. By proactively acknowledging and responding to the multifaceted dynamics, transnational implications, and far-reaching implications, nations can chart a path towards a harmonious and sustainable future that upholds the principles of social justice, inclusivity, and collective prosperity for all.

6.2. Institutional Constraints

Suppose a firm in a developing country operates inefficiently and has high marginal costs, and standard economic theory would predict that the most efficient use of aid would be to finance or provide infrastructural support - not directly to the firm as a cash payment. However, this aid may not be forthcoming because of institutional constraints like pervasive corruption and weak property rights in many developing countries. Without the ability to finance or provide real support, foreign entrepreneurs and donors may instead provide financing or

capital less efficient in the long term from an expected utility or growth perspective.

In these particular instances, the implementation of social agenda-based interventions, which inadvertently impede the growth and progress of the firm due to the firm's failure to effectively address efficiency concerns or the high marginal cost issues they face, serves as a deliberate effort to render the investments more accessible, acceptable, and appealing to investors who may lack patience, the domestic electorate, and even the rent-seeking firm itself. This strategic approach is achieved by allocating a substantial portion of the 'plunder' gained from the inefficient operations of the firm in question as a post-facto compensation, thereby assuaging the concerned parties involved. Furthermore, when the above and surplus earnings are ultimately disbursed as foreign currency, these companies gain a notable competitive edge in the global markets at a significantly accelerated pace. This accelerated pace starkly contrasts the potential consequences of abstaining from growth-mitigating social agenda interventions for an extended period. By swiftly implementing these interventions and efficiently managing the resulting investments, firms can outpace their competitors and establish a distinguished presence in the international economic landscape. With careful consideration and strategic implementation, firms can strike a delicate balance between addressing social agenda concerns and ensuring their operations' sustainable growth and profitability. By recognising the significance of addressing efficiency concerns and high marginal cost issues alongside social initiatives, firms can secure the trust and support of investors, domestic electorates, and the economic ecosystem. Through these efforts, firms contribute to the betterment of society and position themselves for long-term success in an increasingly competitive global market. Expanding their corporate social responsibility initiatives not only serves to enhance their reputation but also acts as a catalyst for attracting new investors. By actively supporting social initiatives and investing in projects that positively impact communities, firms demonstrate their commitment to ethical practices and sustainability. This resonates with socially conscious investors who prioritise companies with a strong sense of social responsibility. Moreover, these interventions create a virtuous cycle wherein the firm's success and profitability are intertwined with societal progress. Firms can streamline their operations, reduce waste, and optimise resource allocation by addressing efficiency and high marginal cost issues. This not only

improves overall productivity but also minimises negative impacts on the environment. Firms must adopt a comprehensive approach to address the social problems while maintaining profitability. This entails conducting thorough research and analysis to identify their operating environment's most pressing social concerns. Firms can maximise their social impact and generate positive returns by developing targeted interventions that directly address these issues. Additionally, firms should prioritise collaboration and partnership with relevant stakeholders, including governmental agencies, non-profit organisations, and local communities. By leveraging the expertise and resources of these entities, firms can amplify the effectiveness of their interventions and foster a more holistic approach to social development. As firms navigate the complexities of the global market, they must recognise the interconnectedness between sustainable practices and financial performance. By implementing social agenda-based interventions, firms contribute to the betterment of society and enhance their long-term viability. In conclusion, proactive engagement with social agenda concerns can benefit firms significantly. By effectively addressing efficiency concerns, high marginal cost issues, and social initiatives, firms can secure the trust and support of investors, domestic electorates, and the economic ecosystem. Through these efforts, firms position themselves for sustained success in a competitive global market while contributing to the betterment of society.

7. Conclusion

The conclusions of helpful research in international economics have often been that under some circumstances, the premises needed to support a particular prescription in favour of a softer or harder plan are not likely to be useful or that one country's macroeconomic policy is the optimal action to take, or that growth would vary if some other policies were followed, other things equal. Our comprehensive review of the market analysis of growth, conducted with meticulous detail and in-depth examination, enhances a related conclusion that resonates deeply within international economics. Despite their potential merits and undeniable importance, it becomes evident that models of capital market imperfections have yet to receive a clearly labelled passport approving their admittance to the default and lending processes followed in many developing countries. On a parallel note, models of price distortions and mercantilist considerations, despite their potential to wield influence and shape outcomes, have yet to be structured in ways that show why they would or would not slow

growth or reduce capital accumulation relative to alternative constraints. Furthermore, the refusal of a good portion of the people in any country to consume for further expected returns, a notion that holds substantial untapped value, has yet to be embraced as an astute mechanism for attracting productive, extraordinarily high-grain investment. These findings encapsulate the intricate complexities and multifaceted nature of international economics. Yet, it is vital to acknowledge that the absence of broad theoretical support for soft or hard policy positions should not lead us to rashly or haphazardly dismiss them. On the contrary, it should spark further exploration, rigorous analysis, and comprehensive investigations that delve deeper into these contrasting approaches. Only through such dedicated pursuits can we uncover the potential kernels of wisdom and glean insights that have the power to shape policies, foster economic growth, and ultimately advance the field of international economics. In today's interconnected global landscape, policymakers, researchers, and practitioners must embrace the ever-evolving complexities of international economics. By delving into the intricate nuances and multifaceted interplay of economic variables, we can usher in a new era of informed decision-making and propel the world towards sustainable growth and prosperity. However, as we embark on this journey of unravelling the mysteries of international economics, we must acknowledge that economic theory has its limitations. Despite the wealth of research conducted and the wealth of insights gained, there are still unresolved issues that require our attention and innovative solutions. For instance, the inadequacies surrounding models of capital market imperfections present a pressing challenge to policymakers worldwide. While acknowledging their potential merits and undeniable importance, we must address the lack of a clearly defined framework that approves their integration into many developing nations' default and lending processes. We can only ensure a more equitable and efficient global economic landscape by bridging this gap. Similarly, the models of price distortions and mercantilist considerations demand our comprehensive scrutiny. While undeniably wielding influence and shaping outcomes, these models have yet to be structured in ways that unequivocally demonstrate their impact on growth and capital accumulation. As we navigate the intricacies of international economics, we must strive to establish a coherent framework that clarifies how these factors interact and shape the economic landscape. Furthermore, our understanding of consumer behaviour remains incomplete without

acknowledging the untapped potential of individuals refraining from immediate consumption for the promise of future returns. This ideology holds substantial untapped value and can be harnessed as a powerful mechanism for attracting productive, extraordinarily high-grain investment. By delving into the depths of this concept, we can unlock a wealth of opportunities and foster economic growth on a global scale. In conclusion, international economics remains a realm of immense complexities and untapped potential. While the absence of broad theoretical support for soft or hard policy positions may initially seem discouraging, we must interpret it as an invitation for further exploration, rigorous analysis, and comprehensive investigations. By embracing this challenge head-on, we can unearth the hidden pearls of wisdom within the intricate web of international economics. Through these endeavours, we can shape policies, foster economic growth, and advance the field towards a brighter and more prosperous future.